Case 4:12-cr-00602 TATES WITATES WITE PRICE COURT 04/27/12 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 3 12 76325 AL
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL COLUMN
Rodrey Lewis Smith Tr	APR 2 7 2012
Defendant.	RICHARD W. WIEKING
Speedy Trial Act from <u>Apil タフ</u> , 2012 to <u>UA</u>	RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT April 37, 2012, the Court excludes time tindentially and finds that the ends of justice served public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § uses this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proor law, that it is unreasonable to expedi	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
The state of the s	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
DATED: THE STIPLE ATED:	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney